

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 GOOGLE LLC,
11 Defendant.

Case No. [20-cv-04688-RS](#) (AGT)

**ORDER RE: OUTSTANDING
MOTIONS TO SEAL**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 152, 176, 179

12 The request to seal portions of the plaintiffs' motion for relief from the case management
13 schedule (Dkt. 152) is granted in part. Google's internal project name may be redacted on page
14 fifteen of the motion and in paragraph twenty of the Mao declaration. Exhibit F to the motion may
15 be redacted in full. With these exceptions, Google, the designating party, hasn't convinced the Court
16 that "specific prejudice or harm will result" if the confidential information appearing in, and attached
17 to, the motion is publicly disclosed. *Phillips ex rel. Ests. of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d
18 1206, 1210–11 (9th Cir. 2002).

19 The request to seal portions of the plaintiffs' reply brief in support of the motion for relief
20 from the case management schedule (Dkt. 176, as modified by Dkt. 179) is denied. Google, the
21 designating party, hasn't convinced the Court that specific prejudice or harm will result if the con-
22 fidential information appearing in, and attached to, the reply brief is publicly disclosed.

23 By December 8, 2021, the plaintiffs must file versions of their motion and reply brief that
24 comply with this order.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: December 1, 2021

27
28 
ALEX G. TSE
United States Magistrate Judge